

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 01595 152013Z

21

ACTION DLOS-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 IO-13 AF-06 ARA-06 EA-07 EUR-12 NEA-10

FEA-01 ACDA-07 AGR-05 AID-05 CEA-01 CEQ-01 CG-00

CIAE-00 CIEP-01 COME-00 DODE-00 DOTE-00 EB-07 EPA-01

ERDA-05 FMC-01 TRSE-00 H-02 INR-07 INT-05 JUSE-00

L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 NSF-01 OES-06 OMB-01 PA-01 PM-04

PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 SAL-01 /154 W

----- 089152

R 151858Z APR 76

FM USMISSION USUN NY

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 6922

INFO AMEMBASSY VIENNA

AMEMBASSY SANTIAGO

AMEMBASSY YAOUNDE

AMCOMGEN KARACHI

AMEMBASSY MEXICO CITY

AMEMBASSY LIMA

AMEMBASSY ISLAMABAD

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 1595

FROM LOS DEL

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PLOS

SUBJECT: LOS COMMITTEE II MEETINGS, APRIL 12, 1976

1. SUMMARY. FOLLOWING THE GENERAL COMMITTEE MEETING, COMMITTEE II MET TWICE IN INFORMAL SESSION, CONTINUING ITS DEBATE FROM THE PREVIOUS DAY ON ARTICLES 57 AND 58. CONSIDERABLE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT WAS GIVEN THE AUSTRIAN REVISION (SEPTTEL) OF ARTICLES 57 AND 58 REPRESENTING THE POINT OF VIEW OF THE GROUP OF LAND-LOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES (LL/GDS). A COMPROMISE PROPOSAL BY THE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 01595 152013Z

CAMEROONS ALSO RECEIVED CONSIDERABLE SUPPORT. THE DEBATE

WAS, AT TIMES, ACRIMONIOUS, WITH THE PRINCIPAL ANTAGONISTS BEING MEXICO AND BOLIVIA. THE DEBATE ON THESE ARTICLES WILL CONTINUE. END SUMMARY.

2. ARTICLE 57 (RIGHTS OF LAND-LOCKED STATES IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE):

A. AUSTRIA'S PROPOSAL ON BEHALF OF THE LL/GDS (SEPTTEL) PROVIDING, MOST IMPORTANTLY, NOTWITHSTANDING THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLES 50 AND 51, FOR EXPLOITATION OF LIVING RESOURCES ON A REGIONAL OR SUB-REGIONAL BASIS BY LAND-LOCKED STATES ON AN EQUAL FOOTING WITH THE COASTAL STATES IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE, ENABLING LAND-LOCKED STATES TO OBTAIN AN EQUITABLE SHARE NOT TIED TO THE SURPLUS (AND PROVIDING DEVELOPED STATES ACCESS TO DEVELOPED STATE ECONOMIC ZONES IN THE REGION OR SUB-REGION), WAS EXPRESSLY SUPPORTED BY NEPAL, KUWAIT, JORDAN, POLAND, SW-AZILAND, BULGARIA, SWITZERLAND, HUNGARY, SWEDEN, AFGHANISTAN, LAOS, BHUTAN, CHAD AND NIGERIA. PARAGUAY, UGANDA, BYELORUSSIA AND MONGOLIA INDICATED SYMPATHY FOR THE PROPOSAL. ISRAEL HAD NO OBJECTION. DOMINICAN REPUBLIC AND TOBAGO COULD ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL, WITH SLIGHT CLARIFICATIONS. JAMAICA COULD ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE CAMEROON PROPOSAL (SET FORTH BELOW.) UPPER VOLTA COULD ACCEPT THE PROPOSAL TO THE EXTENT IT REFLECTED THE OAU DECLARATION OF HEADS OF STATE.

B. ECUADOR, ARGENTINA AND COLOMBIA SUPPORTED THE CHILEAN PROPOSAL (SEPTTEL), PROVIDING MOST IMPORTANTLY FOR BILATERAL OR REGIONAL AGREEMENTS FOR LAND-LOCKED STATES TO BE GIVEN ACCESS TO THE SURPLUS OF ALLOWABLE CATCH, ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS, BUT WITH PREFERENCE OVER THIRD STATES. (DEVELOPED LAND-LOCKED STATES WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO THE LIVING RESOURCES OF THE ECONOMIC ZONES OF DEVELOPED STATES IN THE REGION). SENEGAL ALSO SUPPORTED THIS PROPOSAL, BUT INDICATED SOME FLEXIBILITY IN THE DIRECTION OF COMPROMISE WITH THE LL/GDS. MOROCCO SHOWED SOME INTEREST. MEXICO REPEATED ITS EARLIER SUPPORT FOR THE CHILEAN PROPOSAL, BUT PROPOSED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL PARAGRAPH, QTE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE SHALL BE WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO ARRANGEMENTS AGREED UPON IN REGIONS WHERE COASTAL STATES ARE PREPARED TO GRANT TO LAND-LOCKED STATES OF THE REGION EQUAL RIGHTS FOR THE EXPLOITATION OF THE LIVING RESOURCES IN THE EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONE UNQTE. MEXICO ALSO INDICATED THAT IT WAS ABLE TO ACCEPT THE SNT

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 01595 152013Z

WITH THIS AMENDMENT.

C. PERU PROPOSED TO ADD A NEW PARAGRAPH, QTE IN REGIONS OR SUB-REGIONS WHERE CIRCUMSTANCES ALLOW, THE COASTAL STATE MAY ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS TO GRANT LAND-LOCKED STATES ACCESS TO LIVING RESOURCES OF THEIR EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONES IN CIRCUMSTANCES NOT SUBJECT TO THE EXISTENCE OF SURPLUSES REFERRED TO PARAGRAPH 3 OF THIS ARTICLE BEGIN BRACKET OF THE PERU DRAFT END BRACKET UNQTE.

THERE WAS NO SUPPORT FOR THIS PROPOSAL.

3. ARTICLE 58 (RIGHTS OF GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES TO PARTICIPATE IN EXPLOITATION OF THE LIVING RESOURCES OF THE ECONOMIC ZONES):

A. REFERENCES WERE MADE TO AUSTRIA'S PROPOSAL (ALSO REPRESENTING THE VIEWS OF THE LL/GDS) FOR A NEW ARTICLE 58 (SEPTTEL) PROVIDING MOST SIGNIFICANTLY FOR DEVELOPING GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES THE RIGHT OF EXPLOITATION IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE ON AN EQUAL FOOTING WITH THE COASTAL STATES TO OBTAIN AN EQUITABLE SHARE OF LIVING RESOURCES WITHIN A SUB-REGIONAL OR REGIONAL CONTEXT WITHIN A REGIONAL AND NOT SUBJECT TO A SURPLUS. (FOR DEVELOPED STATES WHICH HAVE HABITUALLY UTILIZED THE LIVING RESOURCES IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE IN THE PAST THERE WOULD BE THE RIGHT TO CONTINUE ON AN EQUITABLE BASIS IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE OF DEVELOPED STATES OF THE REGION OR SUB-REGION.) SINCE THE DISCUSSIONS IN THE COMMITTEE TENDED TO DEAL WITH ARTICLES 57 AND 58 SIMULTANEOUSLY, IT APPEARED THAT A NUMBER OF THOSE STATES SUPPORTING ARTICLE 57 OF THE AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL ALSO SUPPORTED ARTICLE 58.

4. PROPOSALS COMBINING ARTICLES 57 AND 58;

A. THE PAKISTANI PROPOSAL (SEPTTEL) PROVIDING THE COASTAL STATES WITH VIRTUAL DISCRETION AS TO WHETHER TO ALLOW NEIGHBORING LAND-LOCKED STATES OR GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE EXPLOITATION OF LIVING RESOURCES IN THE ECONOMIC ZONE RECEIVED THE SUPPORT OF CAMBODIA AND OF MOROCCO.

B. CAMEROON PROPOSED A COMPROMISE AS FOLLOWS:
QTE..

1. THE DEVELOPING LAND-LOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES ARE ENTITLED TO PARTICIPATE
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 USUN N 01595 152013Z

ON AN EQUAL FOOTING WITH THE COASTAL STATES IN THE EXPLOITATION OF THE LIVING RESOURCES OF THE EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONES OF OTHER STATES IN THE REGION OR SUB-REGION.

2. DEVELOPED LAND-LOCKED OR GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES ARE ENTITLED TO PARTICIPATE ON EQUAL FOOTING WITH DEVELOPED NEIGHBORING COASTAL STATES IN THE EXPLOITATION OF THE LIVING RESOURCES OF THE EXCLUSIVE ECONOMIC ZONES OF OTHER DEVELOPED STATES IN THE REGION OR SUB-REGION.

E. THE MODALITIES OF SUCH PARTICIPATION MAY BE DETERMINED BY THE STATES CONCERNED BY BILATERAL, REGIONAL, AND SUB-REGIONAL AGREEMENTS.

4. THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ARTICLE ARE WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLES 50 AND 51.

UNQUOTE..

THE FOLLOWING STATES SUPPORTED THIS PROPOSAL:

ALGERIA, CHAD, MALI, TOGO, CAMBODIA, AND BARBADOS. THOSE STATES WHICH WERE SYMPATHETIC WERE: CONGO, UPPER VOLTA (TO THE EXTENT THAT THE PROPOSAL REFLECTS THE OAU POSITION), ETHIOPIA, AND MONGOLIA. UGANDA, SINGAPORE, BYELORUSSIA, LAOS, BOLIVIA, BHUTAN, SWITZERLAND, HUNGARY, (EXCEPT FOR PARAGRAPH 4), ROMANIA AND BULGARIA INDICATED THAT THE PROPOSAL WAS WORTHY OF CONSIDERATION. TUNISIA ALSO FELT THAT IT WAS HELPFUL AND WOULD SUPPORT IT WITH MINOR CHANGES. GHANA WOULD SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE SNT. SENEGAL COULD SUPPORT THE PROPOSAL, IF THE ECONOMIC ZONE AND CONTINENTAL SHELF CHAPTERS WERE ACCEPTABLE, OVERALL. JAMAICA COULD ALSO SUPPORT THIS PROPOSAL AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL.

5. IT IS NOTED THAT A NUMBER OF STATES RAISED THE ISSUE OF THE UNDEFINED CHARACTER OF REGIONS AND SUB-REGIONS AND OF THE NOTION OF GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES. MOREOVER, THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE DOUBT EXPRESSED AS TO THE MEANING OF THE TERM "EQUITABLE". NO SATISFACTORY ANSWERS WERE OFFERED FOR THESE QUESTIONS.

6. MEXICO, IN A LENGTHY INTERVENTION, CRITICIZED THE AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL AS GIVING LAND-LOCKED STATES A DISTINCT CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 USUN N 01595 152013Z

ADVANTAGE OVER COASTAL STATES, IN THAT THE LAND-LOCKED STATES WOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE ON AN EQUAL FOOTING IN THE ECONOMIC ZONES OF NUMEROUS COUNTRIES, BUT THE COASTAL STATES WOULD NOT HAVE A SIMILAR RIGHT. MEXICO WARNED THAT COASTAL STATES WERE HARDENING IN THE FACE OF THE LAND-LOCKED /GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES OFFENSIVE AND THAT, ALTHOUGH LL/GDS CONSTITUTED A BLOCKING THIRD, THE COASTAL STATES CONSTITUTED A 2/3RDS MAJORITY. MEXICO FURTHER WARNED THAT THIS GROUP SHOULD CONSIDER WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IN THE EVENT THAT THERE WERE NO CONVENTION AND SUGGESTED THAT THE LOSERS WOULD, IN FACT, BE THE LAND-LOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES. A NUMBER OF STATES, INCLUDING MOST NOTABLY, BOLIVIA VIEWED THESE REMARKS AS THREATS. THE DEBATE BETWEEN COASTAL STATES AND THE LAND-LOCKED AND GEOGRAPHICALLY DISADVANTAGED STATES APPEARS TO BE BECOMING INCREASINGLY ANTAGONISTIC.

SCRANTON

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: LAW OF THE SEA, LANDLOCKED COUNTRIES, COMMITTEE MEETINGS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 15 APR 1976
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976USUNN01595
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D760144-0836
From: USUN NEW YORK
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760455/aaaabvbr.tel
Line Count: 219
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION DLOS
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 06 APR 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <06 APR 2004 by ellisoob>; APPROVED <06 APR 2004 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: LOS COMMITTEE II MEETINGS, APRIL 12, 1976
TAGS: PLOS
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006